



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/691,535

10/24/2003

Clive Bearman

062070-0311796

6874

909

7590

12/23/2009

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

FORD, GRANT M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2442

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/691,535	Applicant(s) BEARMAN, CLIVE	
	Examiner GRANT FORD	Art Unit 2442	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-31,33-36,38-42,53,55-57 and 63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-12,14-31,33-36,38-42,53,55-57 and 63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/8/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3-12, 16-17, 19-27, 30-31, 33-34, 36, 38-41, 53, 55-57, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yairi et al (US 2004/0078424), hereinafter referred to as Yairi, in view of Warshavsky et al. (US 7,376,959), hereinafter referred to as Warshavsky, and further in view of Goodman et al. (US 2004/0019645), hereinafter referred to as Goodman.

a. As per claims 1 and 21, Yairi discloses a system and computer-implemented method for facilitating the exchange of data between a web service and one or more instant messaging clients comprising:

a processor configured to:

receive a user command created using a first instant messaging client for a first time (Para. 0023-0025, 0037); and

generate linking information that links the user command to a corresponding web service command format associated with the web service (Para. 0023-0025, 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0037);

receive, after the linking information is generated and stored in the database, a user command for a second time (Para. 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0040-0041); and

generate the web service command including one or more parameters and corresponding to the user command in the web service command format based on the stored linking information (Para. 0032-0033, 0040-0042);

a web services engine that sends the web service command to the web service that executes the web service command and receives information associated with the executed web service command from the web service (Para. 0033); and

a database that stores the linking information (Para. 0027-0028).

However, the prior art of Yairi fails to explicitly disclose wherein the received user command additionally specifies with one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service, and wherein the system is

Art Unit: 2442

configured to send the received information from the web service to at least one other instant messaging client.

Warshavsky teaches wherein the received user command additionally specifies with one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service (Col. 2 lines 31-58). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the received user command including one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service, with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of converting between a business system format and a web service format for user-based web service commands (Col. 2 lines 31-58).

Goodman teaches wherein the system is configured to send the received information from the web service to at least one other instant messaging client (Abstract, Figure 6, Para. 0025, 0087 and 0159-0163). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the act of transmitting information received from a web service to multiple users with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of permitting web-service based group publication via a consolidated web services interface (Para. 0025, 0159-0163).

b. As per claim 3, Yairi discloses wherein the web services engine configured to locate a web services description language file (Para. 0028).

- c. As per claim 4, Yairi discloses wherein the web services engine is configured to retrieve a web service address (Para. 0026 – see discovery).
- d. As per claim 5, Yairi discloses wherein the web services engine is configured to retrieve the web service command format (Para. 0033,0041).
- e. As per claim 6, Yairi discloses wherein the processor is configured to generate linking information that links the user command to a web service description language file (Para. 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0037, 0041-0042).
- f. As per claim 7, Yairi discloses wherein the processor is configured to generate linking information that links the user command to the web service and the web service command format (Para. 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0037, 0041-0042).
- g. As per claim 8, Yairi discloses wherein the database is configured to store user information, the user information comprises at least one of user identification and user password (Para. 0025-0026).
- h. As per claim 9, Yairi discloses wherein the database is configured to store user privileges information (Para. 0025-0026).
- i. As per claim 10, Yairi discloses wherein the linking information that links the user command to the web service command format stored in the database comprises a web services description language file location (Para. 0028,0031,0041-0042).
- j. As per claim 11, Yairi discloses wherein the linking information that links the user command to the web service command format stored in the database comprises the web service's address (Para. 0026 – see discovery).

k. As per claim 12, Yairi discloses wherein the linking information that links the user command to the web service command format stored in the database comprises a web service description language file name (Para. 0028,0031,0041-0042).

l. As per claim 16, Yairi discloses a security and provisioning engine and the security and provisioning engine is configured to retrieve security information (Para. 0025-0026).

m. As per claim 17, Yairi discloses wherein the security information includes user privileges information (Para. 0025-0026).

n. As per claim 19, Yairi discloses wherein the system interfaces with a remote database including user security information (Para. 0026).

o. As per claim 20, Yairi discloses wherein the remote database including the user security information includes a directory that has information relating to user privileges (Para. 0025-0026).

p. As per claim 22, Yairi discloses wherein generating the linking information that links the user command to web service command format comprises generating linking information that links the user command to a web service description language file (Para. 0026,0028,0031,0041-0042).

q. As per claim 23, Yairi discloses wherein generating the linking that links the user command to the web service command format comprises locating the web service's address (Para. 0026).

r. As per claim 24, Yairi discloses wherein the web service address is a URL address (Fig. 9-10).

s. As per claim 25, Yairi discloses receiving a message from the web service (Para. 0039-0042).

t. As per claim 26, Yairi discloses wherein the message received from the web service is a response message (Para. 0039-0042).

u. As per claim 27, Yairi discloses sending the message from the web service to one or more users associated with the one or more instant messaging clients (Para. 0039-0042).

v. As per claim 30, Yairi discloses storing user information (Para. 0025-0026).

w. As per claim 31, Yairi discloses wherein the stored user information includes user command information for at least one of one or more users associated with the one or more instant messaging clients (Para. 0025-0026, 0028-0029).

x. As per claim 33, Yairi discloses parsing security information to determine a user's access rights to the web service (Para. 0025-0026).

y. As per claim 34, Yairi discloses wherein the security information is stored in a database (Para. 0025-0026).

z. As per claim 36, Yairi discloses a program storage device readable by a machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by a machine to perform method steps of exchanging data between a web service and one or more instant messaging client, the method steps comprising:

receiving an instant messaging message created using a first instant messaging client, the instant messaging message comprising a user command that is received for a first time (Para. 0023-0025, 0027, 0029-0031, 0037-0039);

identifying a web service description language file associated with the user command (Para. 0031);

identifying a web service listed in the web service description language file that is associated with the user command (Para. 0029-0031);

generating linking information that links the user command to a corresponding web service command format associated with the web service (Para. 0023-0025, 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0037);

receiving, after the linking information is generated and stored in the database, a user command for a second time (Para. 0027-0029, 0032-0033, 0040-0041); and

generating the web service command including one or more parameters and corresponding to the user command in the web service command format based on the stored linking information (Para. 0032-0033, 0040-0042);

a web services engine that sends the web service command to the web service that executes the web service command and receives information associated with the executed web service command from the web service (Para. 0033);

a database that stores the linking information (Para. 0027-0028); and

sending the web service message to the web service according to information provided in the web service description language file (Para. 0029-

Art Unit: 2442

0031,0037-0039). However, the prior art of Yairi fails to explicitly disclose wherein the received user command additionally specifies with one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service, and wherein the system is configured to send the received information from the web service to at least one other instant messaging client.

Warshavsky teaches wherein the received user command additionally specifies with one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service (Col. 2 lines 31-58). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the received user command including one or more parameters to be included in a web service command associated with the web service, with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of converting between a business system format and a web service format for user-based web service commands (Col. 2 lines 31-58).

Goodman teaches wherein the system is configured to send the received information from the web service to at least one other instant messaging client (Abstract, Figure 6, Para. 0025, 0087 and 0159-0163). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the act of transmitting information received from a web service to multiple users with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of permitting web-service based group publication via a consolidated web services interface (Para. 0025, 0159-0163).

aa. As per claim 38, Yairi discloses receiving a message from a web service (Para. 0039-0042).

bb. As per claim 39, Yairi discloses wherein the message from the web service is in response to the web service message (Para. 0039-0042).

cc. As per claim 40, Yairi discloses wherein the message from the web service is forwarded to one or more users (Para. 0039-0042).

dd. As per claim 41, Yairi discloses storing user information (Para. 0025-0026).

ee. As per claim 53, Yairi fails to explicitly disclose wherein a user associated with the first instant messaging client directly transmits the information received from the web service to at least one other user via a second instant messaging client.

Goodman teaches wherein a user associated with the first instant messaging client directly transmits the information received from the web service to at least one other user via a second instant messaging client (Abstract, Figure 6, Para. 0025, 0157 and 0159-0163). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the act of transmitting information received from a web service to multiple users with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of permitting web-service based group publication via a consolidated web services interface (Para. 0025, 0157, 0159-0163).

ff. As per claim 55, Yairi discloses wherein a user associated with the first instant messaging client selects at least one other user to transmit the information received from the web service to (Para. 0023-0025).

ee. As per claim 56, Yairi discloses wherein the system includes a filter configured to prevent users without user privileges from viewing the information (Para. 0026-0027).

ff. As per claim 57, Yairi discloses wherein the web service initiates contact with a user associated with the first instant messaging client without prompting from the user (Para. 0031, 0049).

gg. As per claim 63, Yairi fails to explicitly disclose determination in accordance with the user privileges information, of which user should receive the information from the web service in response to the web service command.

Goodman teaches determination in accordance with the user privileges information, of which user should receive the information from the web service in response to the web service command (Abstract, Figure 6, Para. 0025, 0157 and 0159-0163). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of determination of privilege information for distribution determination with the prior art of Yairi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of publishing content only to those users subscribed to receive such publications (Para. 0163).

Art Unit: 2442

4. Claims 14-15, 18, 28-29, 35, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yairi, Warshavsky and Goodman in view of Upton (US 2003/0105884).

a. As per claims 14 and 28, Yairi, Warshavsky and Goodman teach the invention substantially as claimed above. However, Yairi fails to explicitly teach the use of enterprise systems.

Upton teaches wherein the web service is associated with an enterprise system (Abstract, Para. 0138,0141). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of enterprise systems with web service networks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so for the purpose of providing access to large-scale business applications such as customer relationship management, enterprise resource planning, and human resources applications (Para. 0141).

b. As per claims 15 and 29, Yairi, Warshavsky and Goodman teach the invention substantially as claimed above. However, Yairi fails to explicitly teach the use of legacy systems.

Upton teaches wherein the web service is associated with a legacy system (Para. 0027,0044, 0132). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of legacy systems with web service networks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so for the purpose of providing access to legacy mainframe applications such as CICS (Para. 0027).

Art Unit: 2442

c. As per claims 18,35, and 42 , Yairi, Warshavsky and Goodman teach the invention substantially as claimed above. However, Yairi fails to explicitly teach the use of enterprise or legacy systems.

Upton teaches wherein the web service is associated with an enterprise or legacy system (Abstract, Para. 0027,0044, 0132,0138,0141). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of enterprise systems with web service networks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so for the purpose of providing access to large-scale business applications such as customer relationship management, enterprise resource planning, and human resources applications (Para. 0141) or providing access to legacy mainframe applications such as CICS (Para. 0027).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/8/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) the prior art of Yairi failing to disclose combining the user command with at least one input parameter have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Warshavsky, as outlined above.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., linking a user command to an instant messaging message to a corresponding web

Art Unit: 2442

service command format associated with a web service being generated) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The instant claims fail to recite that the linking information that links a user command in an instant messaging message to a corresponding web service command format at the time of web service generation (emphasis added). Applicant's assertion that the prior art of Yairi fails to disclose that stored linking information is utilized to generate a web service command corresponding to the user command in the web service command format is not persuasive, as the prior art of Yairi explicitly discloses this limitation as cited at Para. 0027 and 0033.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GRANT FORD whose telephone number is (571)272-8630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Mon-Thurs alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571)272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2442

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 2442

/Shawki S Ismail/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455